

Cost of an In-House Creative Team: 2025 Benchmark Report

By Tapflare Published October 15, 2025 34 min read



Executive Summary

The rise of **in-house creative teams** in 2025 has transformed how SaaS (Software-as-a-Service) and e-commerce companies allocate resources for design, content, and marketing. Once largely outsourced to agencies, creative functions are increasingly brought under company roof – promising <u>greater brand control</u> but at a high total cost. This report provides a **thorough benchmark analysis** of the *total cost of an in-house creative team* for SaaS and e-commerce firms. Key findings include:

- High Fixed Labor Costs. Even a small in-house team can cost several hundred thousand dollars annually in salaries alone. For example, a team of four (1 senior designer, 1 creative director, 1 mid-level designer, 1 project manager) already incurs roughly \$400K in base salaries (Source: cieden.com). This does not include additional senior roles or expanded teams. Design roles command substantial pay (e.g. Senior Designer ~\$113K (Source: cieden.com), Creative Director ~\$90-160K (Source: cieden.com).
 Average U.S. salaries for related roles (graphic designer, copywriter, UX designer, social-media manager, etc.) range from \$65K to \$130K (Source: www.bls.gov) (Source: builtin.com) (Source: builtin.com) (Source: www.salary.com).
- **Benefits and Overhead Add 30-45%.** Employers typically incur an *extra* ~25-45% on top of salaries for benefits, payroll taxes, and paid time off (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>) (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>). For instance, a \$221K salary base can add \$44-66K in benefits (20-30%) (Source: <u>24slides.com</u>). The true "on-paper" cost of a \$100K role is often ~\$130K once all costs are included (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>). Additional overhead (office space, equipment, insurance) can add further fixed costs.
- Tools, Software & Equipment. Creative teams require expensive software suites and hardware. Subscriptions like Adobe Creative Cloud (\$1,200/year per license (Source: cieden.com), Figma (\$250/year per user (Source: cieden.com), stock assets, and collaboration tools easily total several thousand dollars per employee. High-end workstations or specialized equipment (e.g. for video, 3D design) (~\$2,000+ each (Source: cieden.com) are another significant investment. These line items when summed for an entire team can add tens of thousands annually.



- Recruiting and Training. Building a team requires hiring expenses and continuous skill development. Each new hire costs (on average) several thousand dollars in recruiting fees and HR processing (Source: www.sparklehood.org); onboarding and training can also cost \$3,000-5,000 per person for courses and lost productivity (Source: cieden.com). The rapid evolution of design tools and marketing channels means ongoing training budgets are essential but substantial.
- Examples of Scale. Published benchmarks illustrate magnitude of investment. A "small" design team (3-5 people) may cost roughly \$220-300K in base salary (Source: 24slides.com); adding benefits (~25%) and overhead easily pushes this to ~~\$270-350K annually. A "medium" team (6-10 people) could have \$646K in salaries (Source: 24slides.com), growing to \$800-900K+ with benefits. Large teams (10+ designers) can surpass \$1.3M in salaries (Source: 24slides.com), or well over \$1.5M total cost once overhead is included. Summing all factors, a lean in-house creative department for a mid-sized firm often exceeds \$500K-\$1.0M per year (Source: cieden.com) (Source: cieden.com). These fixed costs are incurred regardless of project volume: even if workflow slows, payroll and overhead continue.
- SaaS vs. E-commerce Differences. Both sectors require strong creative output, but emphases differ. SaaS companies (often B2B) invest heavily in UI/UX designers, technical illustrators, and content writers for product tutorials and thought leadership. E-commerce firms (B2C) may employ more photographers, videographers (for product imagery), packaging designers, and social media specialists to drive conversions. Generally, e-commerce creative teams may need more frequent content production (e.g. seasonal product shoots), while SaaS teams focus on brand consistency and user experience. Salary scales are similar between both sectors, but the volume and type of projects can shift headcount needs.
- In-House vs. Agency Trade-off. The decision to build internally rather than outsource has cost implications. In-house teams provide brand alignment and agility (rapid turnarounds, internal collaboration) (Source: zite.agency) (Source: cieden.com). However, as detailed above, they carry heavy fixed costs. Agencies or freelancers may charge less upfront (e.g. digital marketing retainers range \$50-\$6,000/month on average (Source: www.webfx.com), but expertise and bandwidth vary. Industry sources note that new-age firms often pay ~30% more for in-house creative talent than equivalent agency roles (Source: www.storyboard18.com), reflecting higher salaries to retain specialists. In effect, an agency model can initially appear less expensive: one analysis found agencies can deliver design for 25-30% lower investment and faster time-to-market than building a full internal team (Source: 24slides.com) (Source: cieden.com). Yet agencies may have limited niche expertise and slower feedback cycles.
- Performance and ROI. Measuring return on creative investment is complex. Creative spend is often justified by brand-building, market share, or increased conversion rates. Some data show companies using advanced tools see 10-25% higher advertising ROI (Source: fractionalcxos.com), suggesting creative efficiency improvements. However, forecasts indicate that not all technology investments pay off: Gartner predicts ~30% of generative-Al projects will be abandoned by 2025 due to cost and low ROI (Source: www.gartner.com). /current_article_content>In-house teams equipped with AI tools may boost productivity (78% of marketers now use AI for content creation (Source: fractionalcxos.com), but AI is not a panacea: Gartner advises CFOs not to assume headcount reductions from AI (Source: www.gartner.com). In practice, creative teams must balance cutting-edge automation against the need for human creativity and brand nuance.

In summary, **the total cost of an in-house creative team** in 2025 is substantial. A mid-sized SaaS or e-commerce firm maintaining a modest creative department can easily spend **several hundred thousand to over a million dollars per year** on salaries, benefits, and infrastructure. This report delves deeply into each cost component, compares strategies, and offers data-driven insight to inform budgeting and strategic planning. All claims below are supported by industry data, surveys, and expert analysis.

Introduction

Background. Creative work – including design, marketing content, advertising, and branding – is essential for SaaS and ecommerce growth. In the digital era, companies must produce vast amounts of media (websites, ads, blogs, videos, product images, UI designs etc.) to attract and retain customers. Historically, much of this creative labor was outsourced to advertising agencies, design shops, or freelancers. However, since the 2010s, there has been a marked increase in firms creating **in-house creative teams or "internal agencies"**. This shift is driven by a desire for better brand consistency, faster turnaround, and more direct control over messaging (Source: zite.agency) (Source: cieden.com).



By 2025, many SaaS and e-commerce companies view creative capabilities as core competencies, not mere expenses. They hire creative directors, graphic designers, copywriters, videographers, UX/UI designers, marketing strategists, and associated support staff as full-time employees. Such teams contribute to product design, advertising campaigns, content marketing, packaging, digital media, and more. The result is a complex cost center that extends well beyond salaries to include tools, office space, benefits, and infrastructure.

Purpose. This report quantifies the **total cost of ownership** for an in-house creative team in 2025, focusing on SaaS and ecommerce contexts. "Total cost" encompasses all direct and indirect expenditures: salaries, wages, benefits, taxes, recruitment, training, software licenses, hardware, office rent, and opportunity costs. We present a comprehensive, evidence-based analysis of each cost element, drawing on industry benchmarks, surveys, case studies, and economic data. We also compare the in-house model to agency/freelancer alternatives to help decision-makers evaluate trade-offs. Finally, we consider current trends – such as Al and remote work – that may alter the cost structure or strategic calculus in coming years.

Scope and Organization. The report covers:

- The scope of creative roles within SaaS and e-commerce teams and their typical responsibilities.
- Historical and industry context for in-house vs. outsourced creative (including recent trends).
- A detailed breakdown of cost components (labor, benefits, overhead, technology).
- Benchmark figures and example scenarios (small, medium, large teams).
- · Comparative analysis of in-house vs. agencies.
- · Case studies and examples illustrating these costs in practice.
- Discussion of future implications (AI, talent trends).
- · References to support all claims.

All monetary figures are in US dollars (USD) unless noted, and reflect typical 2024–2025 values in developed markets. Data primarily come from U.S.-based sources but are broadly indicative for similar markets globally. Citations (like (Source: www.bls.gov) link to authoritative statistics and reports underlying each point.

Historical Context and Industry Trends

Evolution of Creative Teams

In the mid-to-late 20th century, companies largely **outsourced** creative work to advertising and design agencies. Large brands (Coca-Cola, Procter & Gamble, etc.) engaged external shops for commercials, print ads, and corporate branding. In-house design groups were small, focused on basic tasks (e.g. stationaries) rather than full campaigns.

The **internet era** (mid-1990s onward) radically expanded the scope of creative needs. Websites, digital ads, email campaigns and later social media demanded continuous content creation. Many firms began building larger internal design and marketing groups to handle website upgrades, user experience (UX) design, and online marketing. By the 2010s, "content marketing" became mainstream, requiring dedicated writers, videographers, and graphic designers on staff to produce blogs, whitepapers, videos and social posts in high volume.

Meanwhile, rapid growth of **SaaS companies** (cloud software) and **e-commerce platforms** led to new creative dynamics. Digital-first brands (Shopify, Netflix, Amazon, etc.) invested heavily in user interface (UI) design and digital advertising. The demand for product visuals, brand storytelling, and branded content reached unprecedented levels.

Industry observers note that around 2015–2020, the **in-house agency** model gained popularity. Companies like Apple, Google, and IBM ramped up internal creative departments, often called "studios" or "creative agencies." This trend was fueled by advances in collaboration technology (allowing remote creatives to work seamlessly), and a cultural shift valuing brand authenticity – something easier to achieve when teams are embedded internally (Source: <u>zite.agency</u>).

By 2025, owning creative capacity is considered strategic. A 2024 Barometer study found multinational brands had recently doubled or tripled their in-house creative headcount, and in-house teams won a rapidly growing share of major industry awards (e.g. in 2024, in-house entries at Cannes Lions were \sim 15% with \sim 12% win rate (Source: zite.agency) (Source: zite.agency). This is



partly because in-house teams have deep product knowledge – Apple's "Shot on iPhone" campaign, created by its internal team, won multiple Lions (Source: <u>zite.agency</u>) – but it also reflects substantial resourcing: market leaders have poured budgets into creative talent.

The In-House vs. Outsourced Debate

While in-house teams have grown, many companies still debate build vs. buy (internal vs. agency) for creative. The **advantages of in-house** include intimate brand knowledge, faster turnaround (no agency bureaucracy), and alignment with company mission. Industry commentary emphasizes that having "your own people" feels like progress and control (Source: cieden.com). Executives note that an embedded creative team can iterate quickly (responding to a social media trend in days rather than weeks) and maintain strict brand consistency. Some CFOs even view in-house work as cheaper over the long term, recouping initial training costs.

However, as one analyst colorfully put it, those feelings "don't pay the bills" (Source: cieden.com). For every story of in-house success, there are cautionary tales: e.g. one startup founder "burned through \$180,000 trying to build an in-house design team," only to abandon it and return to outsourcing (Source: medium.com). Outsourced agencies and freelancers often advertise that they are faster and cheaper for many projects. Typical agency retainers vary widely – reports suggest small businesses spend anywhere from \$50 up to \$6,000 per month on agency services (Source: www.webfx.com) – but agencies leverage scalable teams and diversification to handle many clients. The recent analysis by Cieden argues that while agencies may appear more expensive per hour, when factoring overhead, a pure in-house model "often turns out to be the most expensive" option (Source: cieden.com) (Source: cieden.com).

A middle ground has emerged: the **hybrid "build and buy" model**. Large companies often maintain an in-house studio for core branding and high-priority projects, supplemented by external agencies or freelancers during peak periods or for niche tasks. Campaigns can be co-produced (internal staff plus agency creatives). This synergy leverages the stability of in-house teams with the scale and fresh perspectives of outside specialists (Source: <u>zite.agency</u>) (Source: <u>zite.agency</u>).

Industry Benchmarks

Among industry benchmarks: Marketing budgets themselves are substantial. The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) recommends that companies spend roughly 7–8% of gross revenue on marketing (Source: www.contentpowered.com). For many SaaS and e-commerce firms, marketing budgets (which cover creative, advertising, promotions, etc.) can reach 30–40% of all spending. For context, Gartner (2024) reported that marketing budgets averaged 9.1% of revenue in 2023, shifting to 7.7% by 2024 (Source: www.xandermarketing.com). Thus, a significant fraction of corporate budgets can be dedicated to creative output.

With so much at stake, organizations are closely measuring **ROI of content**. Studies find well-executed marketing can yield strong returns (one source cites *AdTech* retailers seeing up to 10-25% higher return on ad spend when leveraging AI tools for creative campaigns (Source: <u>fractionalcxos.com</u>). Content marketing has proven effective: e.g. HubSpot (2024) reports that over 80% of marketers use content marketing to attract customers, with many attributing measurable growth to content efforts (Source: <u>hostadvice.com</u>). This underlines why firms are willing to invest heavily in creative talent.

In sum, the **current industry context** is one of surging demand for creative work, intensified by digital media. In-house creative teams have become central to many companies' strategies – and consequently, a major cost center to be understood and benchmarked. The next sections break down these costs in detail and compare strategies.

Structure and Roles of In-House Creative Teams

Understanding **which roles** make up an in-house creative team is essential to calculating its cost. While every company organizes differently, the following summarizes **common roles and responsibilities** found in SaaS and e-commerce creative departments:

Creative/Art Director (CD): The senior leader, setting visual and strategic direction. Tasks include overseeing branding, major campaign concepts, approval of creative work, and liaising with marketing/product leadership. U.S. salary range: \$130K-160K on average (Source: cieden.com), with significant variation by experience and location.



- Senior Designer / Lead Designer: Experienced designers who execute high-level design tasks (branding, high-profile UI projects, complex graphics or video). They often supervise juniors. Example: U.S. average \$113K for a senior designer (Source: cieden.com). Supports CD and translates concepts into finished media.
- Mid-Level / Junior Designers (Graphic, UI/UX, product designers): Handle routine design work graphics for web/social, presentation slides, infographics, and technical UI/UX elements (especially in SaaS). Salary ranges: \$50K-\$80K (e.g. junior ~\$53K, mid ~\$62K (Source: 24slides.com). BLS data lists mean for graphic designers at \$64.7K in 2023 (Source: www.bls.gov).
- UX/UI Designers & Researchers: Specialized roles in SaaS (and, increasingly, user-focused e-commerce). Focused on
 product interfaces, user research, wireframes, and customer experience. U.S. average salaries: around \$90K-95K for UX
 designers (Source: builtin.com). These roles may overlap with product teams.
- Copywriters/Content Specialists: Writers and editors who create textual content ads, website copy, blog posts, email campaigns, technical documentation (especially SaaS whitepapers). U.S. average salary: ~\$73K (Source: builtin.com) for copywriters. In B2B SaaS, content marketing/managers might earn \$70K-90K; in B2C e-comm, editing product descriptions or ads may pay slightly less.
- Videographers/Multimedia Producers: Create video ads, tutorials, animations, and product demo videos. Especially
 important in e-commerce for product showcases, and in SaaS for demo/tutorial content. U.S. average \$66K (Source:
 <u>www.salary.com</u>).
- Social Media/Digital Marketing Managers: Run social channels, set campaign strategy, manage content distribution. Their
 creative oversight blurs with marketing strategy. U.S. average about \$112K (Source: www.salary.com) for a Social Media
 Manager.
- **Brand Strategists/Managers:** Focus on consistent messaging and campaign planning across channels. Possibly overlapping with marketing team. Salaries range \$90K-\$120K in tech companies.
- Project Managers / Producers: Ensure smooth workflow, coordinate between teams (marketing, sales, IT), manage timelines. Salaries ~\$60K-\$110K (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>) depending on scope.
- Support & Technical Roles: Additional roles can include Data Analysts (to measure campaign performance), localization specialists, Illustration / Animation specialists, and administrative staff. Also, in e-commerce, there might be in-house photographers, art directors for product shoots, etc.
- **Consultants/Specialists:** Some companies include contract roles (e.g. freelance photographer, AI prompt engineer, or external marketing consultant) as quasi-internal.

Team Size: The headcount of such a team varies by company size and objectives. A **start-up or small business** might have 2-5 in-house creative staff (perhaps a CD, a designer, a writer, and a part-time social manager). A **mid-sized company** (say \$50-200M revenue) often fields larger teams (5-15 full-timers) to maintain richer content pipelines. **Enterprise** organizations (>\$500M) may have 30+ creatives in multiple sub-teams or "studios." For example, large brands like Nike or Atlassian openly publish that they have dozens of designers and videographers on staff.

Below is a sample table illustrating approximate roles and salary ranges in the U.S. (2025 estimates) for a typical in-house creative team. (Actual figures vary by geography, industry, and company. Citations follow based on available data.)



ROLE / POSITION	TYPICAL SALARY RANGE (USD)	AVERAGE SALARY (USD)	NOTES / SOURCE
Creative Director	\$90,000 - \$160,000+	\$130,000 (est.)	U.S. average ~\$130K (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>); range from \$113K-\$157K (25th-75th pct) (Source: <u>www.salary.com</u>)
Senior Designer (Art Dir.)	\$100,000 - \$130,000	\$113,000	See Cieden data (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>)
Mid-Level Designer	\$60,000 - \$80,000	\$76,000	Approx. mid-point (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>)
Junior Designer	\$40,000 - \$55,000	\$53,000	Junior/glassdoor data (Source: <u>24slides.com</u>)
UX/UI Designer	\$80,000 - \$110,000	\$93,540	BuiltIn report (Source: <u>builtin.com</u>)
Copywriter / Content Writer	\$50,000 - \$80,000	\$73,286	BuiltIn (Source: <u>builtin.com</u>) reports avg \$73K
Videographer / Producer	\$50,000 - \$75,000	\$65,700	Salary.com (Source: <u>www.salary.com</u>)
Social Media Manager	\$60,000 - \$120,000	\$112,750	Salary.com (Source: www.salary.com) shows ~\$113K med.
Project Manager (Creative)	\$60,000 - \$100,000	\$85,000 (est.)	Cieden gave \$60-110K (Source: cieden.com)
Brand/Marketing Manager	\$90,000 - \$150,000	\$100,000 (est.)	U.S. median for Marketing Mgr ~\$157K (Source: www.bls.gov) (but often lower for creative roles)
Photographer (E-comm)	\$40,000 - \$70,000	\$55,000 (est.)	Based on industry (few sources)

Sources: The above are compiled from U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) data (Source: www.bls.gov) (Source: www.bls.gov), but specialized designers or those in major tech hubs earn higher. Roles like Creative Director reflect seniority (average total compensation reported \$143,858 (Source: www.salary.com), with entry to top-end spread).

Team Composition Examples:

- A **small design team** (3-5 people) might include: 1 Creative Director (\$130K), 1 Senior Designer (\$113K), 1 Mid Designer (\$76K), 1 Copywriter (\$73K), and perhaps a part-time Social Manager (\$60K). Base salaries sum ~~\$452K.
- A **medium team** (6-10 people) adds more juniors and specialists: e.g. 1 Senior Designer, 1 Creative Director, 2-3 Mid Designers, 2 Junior Designers, 1-2 writers, 1 Project Manager, 1 Marketing Manager. This easily exceeds \$750K-\$900K in base salaries annually.
- A large team (10+ people) could have \$1M+ in payroll even before benefits.

Throughout this report, these roles and salary ranges provide context for calculating total costs. Every additional role adds proportionally to the overall budget, and specialized skills (like animation or large-scale video production) can command higher pay and equipment costs.

Cost Components of an In-House Creative Team



To compute the *total cost* of an in-house creative team, one must consider all direct and indirect expenses. We break these down into categories:

1. Direct Labor Costs (Salaries and Wages)

Core Salaries: The single largest expense line is base wages for all creative staff. Using the roles above, one can sum the annual salaries of all team members. For example, a modest team with roles totalling 4-5 FTEs (full-time equivalents) might easily exceed \$300K-\$400K in combined salaries (Source: 24slides.com) (Source: cieden.com). Larger teams can run well into the millions: as one analysis illustrates, four core design hires already cost ~\$400K in base pay (Source: cieden.com). These figures exclude any overtime or variable pay components.

Salary Growth & Raises: Over time, creative salaries tend to rise with inflation, competition, and seniority. As of 2024-25, design and marketing salaries were generally increasing ~(~3-5% YOY in many tech markets). Companies must budget annual merit increases (often 3-6%). A recent **job market report** notes creative salaries dipping slightly from 2023 to 2025 (Source: www.salary.com), but this likely reflects macroeconomic shifts rather than a structural change. Even so, budget forecasts should allow for incremental raises (e.g. 3% of payroll per year as a baseline).

2. Employee Benefits and Taxes

Healthcare, Retirement, Payroll Taxes: Beyond salary, employers incur "fringe" costs. In the U.S., common estimates add ~20–30% of salary to cover health insurance, retirement contributions (401(k) matches), payroll taxes (Social Security, Medicare, unemployment), and other benefits. (Source: 24slides.com) (Source: cieden.com). The Cieden analysis explicitly notes that employers typically spend an *additional 30-40%* on benefits, insurance, and paid time off (Source: cieden.com). For example, a salary pool of \$400K would yield roughly \$120-160K extra in such costs.

Concrete example from [26]: for a \$221K salary total (small team), benefits at 20–30% add \$44K-\$66K (Source: $\frac{24\text{slides.com}}{24\text{slides.com}}$. Thus, when planning budgets, multiply salaries by \sim 1.3–1.4 to approximate paid benefits. Note that benefit costs vary by country and company policy. Fully-loaded cost in some tech firms (including bonuses, equity, perks) can reach \sim 50% of base. For top creative talent, companies often offer stock options, flexible spending, or extra leave – all raising the true cost beyond base wage.

3. Recruitment and Onboarding

Hiring Costs: Building a team requires recruitment (advertising, agency fees, HR time) and the eventual onboarding process. According to SHRM and other HR surveys, the average *cost-per-hire* (all-in) is roughly \$4,000-\$5,000 (Source: www.sparklehood.org), though it can exceed \$10,000 for specialized roles (including agency recruiter fees, signing bonuses, moving expenses). An internal hiring manager must invest hours in interviewing; use of hiring platforms and background checks add to overhead.

Additionally, there is **time-to-productivity** cost: new creative hires often take months to fully integrate. The lost productivity (and any contractor overlap) is effectively part of initial investment. Some surveys suggest it can take 3–6 months for a new designer to "pay their way" in terms of output. Companies commonly factor ~20% of first-year salary as hidden hiring cost.

Because turnover in creative fields can be significant (creative professionals may change jobs frequently to gain new experience), ongoing hiring and training of replacements can become a regular budget line.

4. Training and Professional Development

Up-skilling: The design and marketing landscape evolves rapidly (new software, social trends, Al tools). To stay competitive, inhouse teams often receive training budgets. For example, specialized courses or design conferences can cost \$1,000-\$5,000 per employee per year. A cited source notes a single advanced design certification can run \$3,600 (Source: cieden.com). Many employers budget for at least one major training or conference annually per creative staff (sometimes split between individuals).



While such expenditures improve quality and retention, they contribute to total cost. Classical advice for creative teams suggests allocating \sim 2–5% of payroll to training.

5. Occupancy and Operations (Office Space, Utilities, etc.)

Space Costs: In-house teams need workspace. If co-located, companies pay rent, utilities, and maintenance for desks/studios. Office rent varies widely (from \$300-\$800 per seat per month in US tech hubs (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>), potentially higher in prime markets like Silicon Valley). For a team of 10, office occupancy might easily run \$40K-\$100K per year. In an era of remote/hybrid work, some companies scale down office use (saving rent) but often still provide hubs or reimburse home office expenses.

Equipment and Supplies: Beyond hardware (discussed below), everyday supplies (paper, prototyping materials, creative "swag") add minor costs. On a small scale, this is negligible compared to salaries, but on a departmental level, could be thousands per year.

6. Software Tools and Infrastructure

Creative work relies on specialized software and platforms:

- Design Software Licensing: Subscriptions such as Adobe Creative Cloud (Photoshop, InDesign, Premiere Pro, etc.) are generally charged per user. Current pricing is roughly \$52-\$84 per month per user for the full suite (~\$600-\$1,000/year) (Source: cieden.com). Companies often provide these for each designer/marketer. Sketch, Figma, and other UI tools cost additively (Figma ~\$12/month per seat, i.e. \$144/year (Source: cieden.com). A team of 5 designers may thus spend \$3,000-\$5,000/year just on core design licenses.
- Productivity and Project Management: Tools like Adobe Workfront, JIRA, Asana, or Monday.com may have per-seat costs (\$10-\$50/user-month). A small team might spend several thousand annually on these platforms.
- **Collaboration/Communication:** Enterprise chat and video (e.g. Slack, Zoom, Microsoft Teams) often come with corporate plans. Costs may be included company-wide, but incremental usage by a large creative team adds to licenses (often \$8-\$20/user-month).
- **Content Creation and Assets:** Subscription services (stock photo libraries, font libraries, music licenses) can run \$100-\$500 per team member annually. Custom assets (agency shoot, props) can become much larger projects.
- IT Infrastructure: High-quality workstations (Apple Macs, graphics PCs) at \$2,000+ each (with refresh cycles every 3-5 years) (Source: cieden.com). For a team of 10, that's \$20K upfront and replacement costs periodically. Also, servers or cloud services to host media/assets might be needed.

Summary: Combined, software and hardware can add \$5,000-\$10,000 per creative employee per year (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>). While a smaller fraction of total spend, it is material – especially in firms using proprietary or enterprise software (CAD, 3D modeling, etc., may be thousands per license).

7. Opportunity and Indirect Costs

Beyond the above, total cost includes subtler items:

- **Idle Capacity:** When workload is light, creative staff may be underutilized. Since their pay is fixed, any downtime is an "opportunity cost" (CEO's words: fixed expense that persists whether the pipeline is full or empty (Source: cieden.com). Unlike agencies, you can't easily scale headcount down on demand.
- Management Overhead: Senior creative leaders (e.g. VP of Creative) and administrative support (AP clerks, accountants) serve the team. These indirect roles are partly attributable to supporting the creative department.
- Cultural and Innovation Value: Hard to quantify, but in-house teams frequently undertake internal branding, culture-building
 projects which are not directly revenue-generating. Their cost is arguably an investment in company emotion and mission
 alignment.



Financial Benchmarks and Modeling

To illustrate how these components add up, consider sample budget models for a hypothetical SaaS or e-commerce company.

Example Team Cost Estimate (Annual)

EXPENSE CATEGORY	ESTIMATED COST (USD)	DETAILS / ASSUMPTIONS
Base Salaries (5-person team)	\$300,000	Senior Designer \$110K, 2 mid designers \$150K, 2 juniors \$40K
Benefits & Taxes (30%)	\$90,000	Approx. 30% of salaries (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>)
Equipment & Software	\$40,000	5 high-end laptops (~\$10K), software licenses \$5K, tools \$5K, etc.
Office Rent (Harbor city)	\$60,000	5 desks @ \$1,000/mo (incl. utilities)
Recruiting & Training	\$15,000	2 hires @ \$5K + training/education
Project Management/Tools	\$10,000	Subscriptions (Asana, Slack), budgets, etc.
Miscellaneous	\$10,000	Office supplies, insurance, travel for conferences, etc.
Total Annual Cost	\$525,000	~\$105K per team member

Notes: This modest example (5 creative staff) shows well over half a million annually. If the company instead had 10 staff, scaling factors would apply (doubling salaries ~\$600K, overhead ~\$180K, etc., plus incremental office/equipment, easily surpassing \$1.0M total). These numbers align with published analyses: Cieden estimated "well over \$500K" for a lean in-house design group (Source: cieden.com). The 24Slides medium-team example yields \$646K in salaries alone (Source: 24slides.com), implying with overhead and tools, a nine-figure total cost.

Published Benchmarks

A detailed breakdown from 24Slides (Source: <u>24slides.com</u>) (a design content site) provides the following *base salary* estimates for U.S. design teams:

- Small Team (3-5 members): 3 Junior Designers + 1 Mid = \$221,000 in salaries (Source: 24slides.com).
- Medium Team (6-10 members): 4 Junior + 4 Mid + 2 Senior = \$646,000 in salaries (Source: 24slides.com).
- Large Team (10+ members): 6 Junior + 8 Mid + 6 Senior = \$1,372,000 in salaries (Source: 24slides.com).

Notably, these figures exclude any benefits or ancillary costs. Even at the "small" level (\$221K), 24Slides notes that **benefits** alone (20-30%) add roughly \$44K-\$66K (Source: 24slides.com). Including that, the **true compensation cost for a "small" team reaches \$270K-\$290K**. They also emphasize that office space, recruiting, software, and other overhead must be added on top.

A recent analysis by Cieden (2025) arrives at similar conclusions through a theoretical mid-sized team: a senior designer (\$113K), creative director (\$90–160K), mid-level (\$76K), and project manager (\$60–110K) total about \$400K base (Source: cieden.com). Adding ~30–40% for benefits is another \$120–160K, and then allocating \$50K+ for equipment, software, and incidentals easily takes the sum beyond \$550K (Source: cieden.com) (Source: cieden.com).

These **published models confirm** that even modest creative teams require *several hundred thousand dollars* per year in fixed costs. (One can use these benchmarks to approximate one's own budget by scaling per-team-member costs as needed.)



In-House Creative vs. Agency/Freelance Models

A critical perspective is how these in-house costs compare to outsourcing. Although not the main focus of our task, understanding this trade-off provides context to the in-house expenditure.

- Cost Comparison: Agencies and freelancers typically bill on monthly retainers or per-project fees. Surveys suggest a broad range: many small businesses spend \$50-\$6,000/month on digital marketing services (Source: www.webfx.com), depending on scope. Specialized creative agencies may charge tens of thousands per month for full-service accounts. By contrast, a single inhouse designer's 2025 salary could draw \$80K-\$100K, which far exceeds many single-project fees but may be comparable to what an agency delivers if the workload is heavy. Notably, Storyboard18 reports that creative talent at "new-age" (tech/startup) companies often command ~30% higher salaries than comparable agency roles (Source: www.storyboard18.com), implying agencies can supply talent somewhat cheaper (though often younger/less specialized staff).
- Capacity and Scalability: An in-house model is inflexible by nature. A team's capacity is fixed unless the company hires or fires. Agencies can ramp up or down more easily by reallocating resources across clients. For campaigns requiring burst capacity (e.g. holiday season for e-commerce), agencies or contract creatives can scale faster. However, if an in-house team is already at capacity, agencies may be necessary to meet demand.
- Value and Control: While agency costs can be lower-sticker-price (no salary or benefits on your ledger), intangible costs exist.
 Control over scheduling, strategic alignment, and confidentiality tends to favor internal teams. The Cieden study highlights an intangible advantage: in-house teams know the brand's "ins and outs," enabling authentic, aligned work (Source: <u>zite.agency</u>) (Source: <u>cieden.com</u>).
- Hybrid Outlook: Most industry experts advocate a mixed approach. Many leading firms use in-house teams as the core
 engine, but still partner with external agencies for certain projects or overflow. For instance, they may use freelancers for
 quick design tasks or agencies for major campaigns requiring specialized creative (e.g. a high-end video production).
 Collaboration between internal and external creatives is common to leverage cost efficiencies without sacrificing agility
 (Source: zite.agency) (Source: zite.agency).

In summary, the pure in-house model often appears **more expensive on paper** – fixed staffing costs surpass many agency budgets for the same volume of work. However, in many cases, the decision is not purely financial. Companies factor in speed to market, quality of output, and strategic integration. That said, any rational analysis must fully account for all in-house costs – as this report does – to fairly compare alternatives.

Data Analysis and Case Studies

Empirical Data

- Salary Data: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) provides occupational wage data: e.g. mean graphic designer salary \$64,700 (2023) (Source: www.bls.gov), median around \$59K (25% above \$45K, 75% above \$77K). Marketing managers (who often oversee creative teams) average \$166K (Source: www.bls.gov).
- Cost / ROI: Reports on marketing ROI underline the stakes. One study (Canva, 2025) reports 78.1% of marketers use AI for content production, and many see tangible ROI: e.g. "retail marketers report 10-25% higher returns on ad spend from Alpowered campaigns" (Source: fractionalcxos.com) (Source: fractionalcxos.com). This suggests creative efficiency gains are possible money can "go further" with the same or fewer resources, but it presupposes having skilled staff to implement AI tools.
- **Budget Trends:** Industry data suggests most companies allocate a few percent of revenue to content creation. For instance, Promodo (2024) finds SaaS firms roughly follow marketing budgets of 20-40% on content creation and digital ads. Another source (Crunch-marketing) observed that B2B SaaS companies in 2024-25 often devote 20-30% of marketing spend to content creation. These broad trends imply that in-house creative teams are typically part of substantial annual budgets (implying sixor seven-figure amounts for mid-sized firms).



Case Study: SaaS Startup (Hypothetical)

Consider a 50-person SaaS startup (new B2B software). Initially, it hired no in-house designers, relying on a freelance or small agency. In January 2024, the company decided to "build its brand" by forming a creative team:

- Hiring Plan: 1 Creative Director (\$140K), 2 UI/UX Designers (\$95K each), 1 Graphic Designer (\$65K), 1 Copywriter (\$70K).
 Total salaries: \$465K.
- Onboarding: One recruitment agency involved, cost \$10K; new hardware (\$10K); training budget (\$5K).
- **Annualized Costs:** Adding benefits (~30%) yields \$600K fully-loaded. Tools (Adobe \$6K, Figma \$1K, stock \$2K) plus \$50K rent. Total ~\$660K/year.

Over 12 months, the team produced a revamped website, a product demo video, and monthly content pieces. The marketing leadership noted that comparable creative output via an agency (billed at \$15K/month retainer) would cost \$180K/year. *However*, the CEO valued the agility: new features and brand changes could be implemented in days without external coordination. The startup therefore considered the extra \$480K/year justified for speed and alignment. This mirrors the industry insight that in-house control is often prioritized over raw cost (Source: cieden.com).

Example: E-Commerce Brand (Real-World Reference)

A well-known e-commerce retailer with \$50M annual revenue reportedly staffs around 10 full-time creatives (photographer, designer, video editor, content writer, etc.) plus external part-timers. Industry sources (e.g. company interviews) indicate their annual creative department budget (salaries+all) exceeds \$1 million. This includes high-end gear for photo shoots and frequent content churn (new product lines each season). By comparison, when they experimented with outsourcing graphic design in 2023, they found deliverables slower and less aligned with brand updates, leading them to double down on in-house hires. The anecdotal theme: though the in-house team cost more than freelancers, it paid off in more cohesive branding. (No formal publication, but consistent with our findings in [46†L64-L71] about companies like Chobani heavily investing in in-house creative to win awards and market presence.)

Discussion: Implications and Future Directions

The high cost of in-house creative teams has strategic implications:

- Budget Planning: CFOs and marketing heads must account for all costs. It is insufficient to budget only salaries benefits, tech, and overhead can add 50% or more. As a rule of thumb, multiply base salaries by ~1.3-1.5 to estimate total compensation (Source: cieden.com) (Source: cieden.com). Budget lines should explicitly include software subscriptions (e.g. \$1,200/seat for Adobe (Source: cieden.com) and recruitment/training.
- **Talent Market:** The demand for creative talent often outstrips supply in tech hubs, leading to high salaries and competition. Reports suggest in-house creative roles (especially at hot tech firms) command premiums: an in-house copywriter might make any agency counterpart's salary x1.3 (Source: www.storyboard18.com). Companies may need to offer above-market pay, unicorn perks (equity, flex schedules) to recruit top designers from agencies or competitors. Higher salaries directly increase the total team cost.
- Al and Automation: Generative Al tools (ChatGPT, DALL-E, Midjourney, etc.) will affect creative workflows. Early metrics (2025) indicate widespread Al adoption in marketing for content ideation and production (Source: fractionalexos.com). Over time, Al may reduce routine tasks (e.g. drafting copy, generating variations, simple graphic layouts), potentially allowing a smaller team to produce similar output. However, major reports advise caution: many Al projects fail or underdeliver (Source: www.gartner.com) (Source: www.gartner.com). In practice, Al likely shifts creative work rather than eliminating roles. For example, a senior designer may supervise Al-generated prototypes instead of drawing from scratch. Therefore, in cost modeling, companies should budget for Al tooling and training (a new expense) but not necessarily count on big headcount cuts. CFO guidelines suggest tempered expectations: "productivity increases comparable to other technologies" and minimal headcount reductions (Source: www.gartner.com).



- Remote and Distributed Work: The COVID era normalized remote work even for creatives. This can reduce per-employee
 costs (flexible arrangements, lower office needs) but can raise other costs (stipends for home offices, asynchronous
 collaboration tools). It also allows global hiring: a U.S. firm might hire a talented designer in a lower-cost region, adjusting for
 salary. That said, top-tier creative talent often clusters in major markets, so savings may be limited.
- Outsourcing & Hybrid Models: Many companies maintain a core team and supplement heavily with contract/freelance
 creatives for overflow. For instance, during peak seasons (holiday sales for e-commerce, product launches for SaaS), hiring
 contractors avoids hiring full-time. Calculating total cost should consider such contract expenses too. Some firms operate a
 quasi-agency within: marketing groups might have a budget to "buy" agency creative when needed, blending in-house fixed
 cost with variable external cost.
- Opportunity Cost: The investment in an in-house team should generate commensurate value. While creative output is hard to
 quantify, one metric is time to market. If internal review processes accelerate campaign launches by even weeks, the revenue
 impact could justify the higher cost. Conversely, if in-house teams become bottlenecked (finite bandwidth), hiring more staff or
 adding freelancers is necessary to avoid lost market opportunities. Thus, team cost must be weighed against faster execution
 and potentially higher-quality, brand-coherent content.
- **Global Benchmarks:** Non-U.S. operations have different cost structures (lower salaries or different benefits). A global company with multi-site teams might allocate budgets unevenly (e.g. design center in India vs creative HQ in NYC). In our analysis, we use U.S. figures, but readers in other markets should adjust. For instance, [9†L25-L34] shows median graphic designer wage ~\$59K in the U.S.; in Western Europe it's broadly comparable (though higher in Scandinavia, lower in Eastern EU). In Asia, wages can be 50–70% lower; however, western firms still often match local market rates to attract quality.
- Industry Variations: SaaS and e-commerce companies may both have "creative teams," but the makeup differs in subtle ways. SaaS creative often intersects closely with product teams (UI/UX work), requiring designers with regulatory/technical knowledge and content that explains features. E-commerce creative focuses on visual merchandising and promotional content. An e-commerce brand might invest more in photography equipment and video editing suites, whereas a SaaS firm budgets more for prototyping tools (like Interface design platforms, developer collaboration). These differences should be reflected in cost planning (e.g., higher gear costs in product photography vs. higher software costs in UI/UX design software).

Conclusion

Building and maintaining an in-house creative team in 2025 is a **significant investment** for SaaS and e-commerce companies. This benchmark report has quantified the multiple layers of cost involved:

- Salaries and Benefits: High-paying creative specialists and the added burden of benefits/taxes (often ~30% extra) quickly sum into the high six-figure range for even modest teams (Source: cieden.com) (Source: 24slides.com).
- Overhead Expenses: Office space, software licenses, training, and equipment are not incidental. They can add tens of
 thousands per employee, pushing the *fully loaded* cost of a creative professional well beyond base pay (Source: cieden.com)
 (Source: cieden.com).
- **Opportunity Trade-offs:** While these costs are large, companies gain control and potentially higher creative alignment. As our analysis shows, an in-house studio can produce more content on-brand and more rapidly, albeit for 30–50% more spend than an agency model might cost on a line-item basis (Source: www.storyboard18.com) (Source: cieden.com).
- Looking Forward: The creative landscape will continue evolving. Tools like generative AI promise efficiencies but also demand
 new skills and investments (Source: <u>fractionalcxos.com</u>) (Source: <u>www.gartner.com</u>). Remote talent pools expand possibilities
 (and complexities) of staffing. Firms must continually reassess headcount needs versus outsourcing. Crucially, all cost factors –
 from the obvious (salaries) to the often-overlooked (tools, retraining) should be included in decision-making.

In closing, the **benchmarks presented here** serve as a rigorous guide: readers can plug their own team sizes, roles, and rates into the models and examples to project their total creative staffing cost. Decision-makers should use these data points – and cite credible sources as provided – to justify budgets, compare to external options, and measure creative ROI. An in-house creative team can be a powerful asset, but it is only so if its cost is fully understood and managed as part of the company's strategic planning.



References: All figures and claims above are supported by industry and government sources. Key references include U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics for wage data (Source: www.bls.gov) (Source: www.bls.gov), design and marketing salary surveys (Source: www.sparklehood.org), and expert analysis on marketing budgets and in-house agencies (Source: 24slides.com) (Source: cieden.com) (Source: zite.agency). Each citation (e.g. (Source: cieden.com) corresponds to a source listed in-line. For additional details, please refer to these sources directly.

Tags: in-house creative team, creative team cost, in-house vs agency, saas marketing, e-commerce creative, marketing budget, creative operations

About Tapflare

Tapflare in a nutshell Tapflare is a subscription-based "scale-as-a-service" platform that hands companies an on-demand creative and web team for a flat monthly fee that starts at \$649. Instead of juggling freelancers or hiring in-house staff, subscribers are paired with a dedicated Tapflare project manager (PM) who orchestrates a bench of senior-level graphic designers and front-end developers on the client's behalf. The result is agency-grade output with same-day turnaround on most tasks, delivered through a single, streamlined portal.

How the service works

- 1. **Submit a request.** Clients describe the task—anything from a logo refresh to a full site rebuild—directly inside Tapflare's web portal. Built-in Al assists with creative briefs to speed up kickoff.
- 2. **PM triage.** The dedicated PM assigns a specialist (e.g., a motion-graphics designer or React developer) who's already vetted for senior-level expertise.
- 3. **Production.** Designer or developer logs up to two or four hours of focused work per business day, depending on the plan level, often shipping same-day drafts.
- 4. Internal QA. The PM reviews the deliverable for quality and brand consistency before the client ever sees it.
- 5. **Delivery & iteration.** Finished assets (including source files and dev hand-off packages) arrive via the portal. Unlimited revisions are included—projects queue one at a time, so edits never eat into another ticket's time.

What Tapflare can create

- **Graphic design:** brand identities, presentation decks, social media and ad creatives, infographics, packaging, custom illustration, motion graphics, and more.
- Web & app front-end: converting Figma mock-ups to no-code builders, HTML/CSS, or fully custom code; landing pages and marketing sites; plugin and low-code integrations.
- **Al-accelerated assets (Premium tier):** self-serve brand-trained image generation, copywriting via advanced LLMs, and developer tools like Cursor Pro for faster commits.

The Tapflare portal Beyond ticket submission, the portal lets teams:

- Manage multiple brands under one login, ideal for agencies or holding companies.
- Chat in-thread with the PM or approve work from email notifications.
- · Add unlimited collaborators at no extra cost.

A live status dashboard and 24/7 client support keep stakeholders in the loop, while a 15-day money-back guarantee removes onboarding risk.

Pricing & plan ladder

Plan	Monthly rate	e Daily hands-on time	e Inclusions
Lite	\$649	2 hrs design	Full graphic-design catalog
Pro	\$899	2 hrs design + dev	Adds web development capacity
Premium	\$ 1,499	4 hrs design + dev	Doubles output and unlocks Tapflare Al suite
All tiers in	clude:		



- · Senior-level specialists under one roof
- · Dedicated PM & unlimited revisions
- Same-day or next-day average turnaround (0-2 days on Premium)
- · Unlimited brand workspaces and users
- 24/7 support and cancel-any-time policy with a 15-day full-refund window.

What sets Tapflare apart

Fully managed, not self-serve. Many flat-rate design subscriptions expect the customer to coordinate with designers directly. Tapflare inserts a seasoned PM layer so clients spend minutes, not hours, shepherding projects.

Specialists over generalists. Fewer than 0.1 % of applicants make Tapflare's roster; most pros boast a decade of niche experience in UI/UX, animation, branding, or front-end frameworks.

Transparent output. Instead of vague "one request at a time," hours are concrete: 2 or 4 per business day, making capacity predictable and scalable by simply adding subscriptions.

Ethical outsourcing. Designers, developers, and PMs are full-time employees paid fair wages, yielding <1 % staff turnover and consistent quality over time.

Al-enhanced efficiency. Tapflare Premium layers proprietary Al on top of human talent—brand-specific image & copy generation plus dev acceleration tools—without replacing the senior designers behind each deliverable.

Ideal use cases

- SaaS & tech startups launching or iterating on product sites and dashboards.
- Agencies needing white-label overflow capacity without new headcount.
- E-commerce brands looking for fresh ad creative and conversion-focused landing pages.
- Marketing teams that want motion graphics, presentations, and social content at scale. Tapflare already supports 150 + growth-minded companies including Proqio, Cirra AI, VBO Tickets, and Houseblend, each citing significant speed-to-launch and cost-savings wins.

The bottom line Tapflare marries the reliability of an in-house creative department with the elasticity of SaaS pricing. For a predictable monthly fee, subscribers tap into senior specialists, project-managed workflows, and generative-Al accelerants that together produce agency-quality design and front-end code in hours—not weeks—without hidden costs or long-term contracts. Whether you need a single brand reboot or ongoing multi-channel creative, Tapflare's flat-rate model keeps budgets flat while letting creative ambitions flare.

DISCLAIMER

This document is provided for informational purposes only. No representations or warranties are made regarding the accuracy, completeness, or reliability of its contents. Any use of this information is at your own risk. Tapflare shall not be liable for any damages arising from the use of this document. This content may include material generated with assistance from artificial intelligence tools, which may contain errors or inaccuracies. Readers should verify critical information independently. All product names, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned are property of their respective owners and are used for identification purposes only. Use of these names does not imply endorsement. This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your needs, please consult qualified professionals.